

Notice of Meeting



Scan here to access the public documents for this meeting

Eastern Area Planning Committee Wednesday 6th February 2019 at 6.30pm At the Calcot Centre, Highview (off Royal Avenue), Calcot

Members Interests

Note: If you consider you may have an interest in any Planning Application included on this agenda then please seek early advice from the appropriate officers.

Date of despatch of Agenda: Tuesday, 29 January 2019

FURTHER INFORMATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Note: The Council broadcasts some of its meetings on the internet, known as webcasting. If this meeting is webcasted, please note that any speakers addressing this meeting could be filmed. If you are speaking at a meeting and do not wish to be filmed, please notify the Chairman before the meeting takes place. Please note however that you will be audio-recorded. Those taking part in Public Speaking are reminded that speakers in each representation category are grouped and each group will have a maximum of 5 minutes to present its case.

Plans relating to the Planning Applications to be considered at the meeting can be viewed in the Calcot Centre between 5.30pm and 6.30pm on the day of the meeting.

No new information may be produced to Committee on the night (this does not prevent applicants or objectors raising new points verbally). If objectors or applicants wish to introduce new additional material they must provide such material to planning officers at least 5 clear working days before the meeting (in line with the Local Authorities (Access to Meetings and Documents) (Period of Notice) (England) Order 2002).

For further information about this Agenda, or to inspect any background documents referred to in Part I reports, please contact the Planning Team on (01635) 519148

Email: planapps@westberks.gov.uk

Further information, Planning Applications and Minutes are also available on the Council's website at www.westberks.gov.uk



Agenda - Eastern Area Planning Committee to be held on Wednesday, 6 February 2019
(continued)

Any queries relating to the Committee should be directed to Stephen Chard /Jessica Bailiss on
(01635) 519462 / 503124 Email: stephen.chard@westberks.gov.uk /
jessica.bailiss@westberks.gov.uk



Agenda - Eastern Area Planning Committee to be held on Wednesday, 6 February 2019
(continued)

To: Councillors Peter Argyle, Pamela Bale, Graham Bridgman, Keith Chopping, Richard Crumly, Marigold Jaques, Alan Law (Vice-Chairman), Alan Macro, Tim Metcalfe, Graham Pask (Chairman), Richard Somner and Emma Webster

Substitutes: Councillors Rob Denton-Powell, Lee Dillon, Sheila Ellison, Tony Linden, Mollie Lock and Quentin Webb

Agenda

Part I

Page No.

1. **Apologies**
To receive apologies for inability to attend the meeting.
2. **Minutes** 7 - 28
To approve as a correct record the Minutes of the meeting of this Committee held on 16th January 2019.
3. **Declarations of Interest**
To remind Members of the need to record the existence and nature of any personal, disclosable pecuniary or other registrable interests in items on the agenda, in accordance with the Members' [Code of Conduct](#).
4. **Schedule of Planning Applications**
(Note: The Chairman, with the consent of the Committee, reserves the right to alter the order of business on this agenda based on public interest and participation in individual applications.)
 - (1) **Application No. & Parish: 18/02866/HOUSE - 8 Alexander Road, Thatcham** 29 - 36

Proposal: Erection of two storey side extension.
Retrospective.

Location: 8 Alexander Road Thatcham
RG19 4QU

Applicant: Ms Tompkins

Recommendation: to **DELEGATE** to the Head of Planning & Countryside to **APPROVE PLANNING PERMISSION** subject to conditions



Agenda - Eastern Area Planning Committee to be held on Wednesday, 6 February 2019
(continued)

- (2) **Application No. & Parish: 18/02930/HOUSE - Purley Lodge Cottage, Purley on Thames, Reading** 37 - 46
Proposal: Part single storey, part two storey rear extension to the existing single family dwelling house
Location: Purley Lodge Cottage, Purley Lane, Purley on Thames, Reading. RG8 8AT
Applicant: Mr Newman and Ms Linning
Recommendation: The Head of Development and Planning be authorised to **REFUSE** planning permission
- (3) **Application No. & Parish: 18/02628/LBC2 - Bere Court, Pangbourne** 47 - 54
Proposal: To facilitate the safe and permanent removal of the extensive asbestos that exists in the ground and first floors and to enable access to the 15th/16th century vaults below the ground floor, we propose to replace the existing wood veneer floor in the drawing room with new oak floorboards and to improve the appearance of the interiors of the dining room and study, we propose to replace the relatively new existing pine floorboards with new solid oak boards.
Location: Bere Court, Pangbourne, RG8 8 HT
Applicant: Mr McHugh de Clare
Recommendation: The Head of Development and Planning be authorised to **GRANT** Listed Building Consent subject to conditions.

Items for Information

5. **Appeal Decisions relating to Eastern Area Planning** 55 - 56
Purpose: To inform Members of the results of recent appeal decisions relating to the Eastern Area Planning Committee.

Background Papers

- (a) The West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.
(b) The West Berkshire District Local Plan (Saved Policies September 2007), the Replacement Minerals Local Plan for Berkshire, the Waste Local Plan for Berkshire and relevant Supplementary Planning Guidance and Documents.
(c) Any previous planning applications for the site, together with correspondence and report(s) on those applications.
(d) The case file for the current application comprising plans, application forms,

Agenda - Eastern Area Planning Committee to be held on Wednesday, 6 February 2019
(continued)

- correspondence and case officer's notes.
- (e) The Human Rights Act.

Andy Day
Head of Strategic Support

If you require this information in a different format or translation, please contact
Moira Fraser on telephone (01635) 519045.



This page is intentionally left blank

DRAFT

Note: These Minutes will remain DRAFT until approved at the next meeting of the Committee

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 16 JANUARY 2019

Councillors Present: Peter Argyle, Pamela Bale, Graham Bridgman, Richard Crumly, Sheila Ellison (Substitute) (In place of Keith Chopping), Marigold Jaques, Alan Law (Vice-Chairman), Alan Macro, Graham Pask (Chairman), Richard Somner, Quentin Webb (Substitute) (In place of Tim Metcalfe) and Emma Webster

Also Present: Sharon Armour (Solicitor), Stephen Chard (Principal Policy Officer), Gareth Dowding (Senior Engineer), Debra Inston (Principal Conservation & Design Officer), David Pearson (Development Control Team Leader) and Cheryl Willett (Senior Planning Officer)

Apologies for inability to attend the meeting: Councillor Keith Chopping and Councillor Tim Metcalfe

PART I

45. Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting held on 19 December 2018 were approved as a true and correct record and signed by the Chairman.

46. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest received.

47. Schedule of Planning Applications

(1) Application No. & Parish: 17/00186/COMIND - The Grotto, Lower Basildon

The Committee considered a report (Agenda Item 4(1)) concerning Planning Application 17/00186/COMIND in respect of the proposal to convert and refurbish the existing listed residential building into a 53 bedroom boutique hotel and private members club including Coach House extension, detached spa facility with outdoor swimming pool and enabling development in the form of 6 detached lodge units and 2 x 4 bedroom family houses.

Cheryl Willett (Senior Planning Officer) introduced the report which recommended approval subject to conditions and the completion of a Section 106 Legal Agreement. She explained that approval of the application would constitute a departure from the Council's Development Plan for the reasons outlined in the report. Those reasons included:

- The application was for a Main Town Centre Use (as defined by the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)) and was not located within a town centre location.
- The provision of two new houses in open countryside was in direct conflict with the housing supply policies of the Development Plan.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

However, areas of potential harm had been weighed against the benefits that the development would bring to the public, i.e. benefits to the rural economy and securing local employment, and therefore conditional permission was, on balance, recommended.

Debra Inston (Principal Conservation and Design Officer) explained that there were a number of points to consider from the conservation viewpoint. An independent assessment (undertaken by Knight Frank) had identified a conservation deficit in the region of £4.1m and it was therefore considered that the application could only proceed and the deficit only be met as an 'enabling development' scheme. Enabling development was development that secured the future of a significant place (defined by Historic England as any part of the heritage environment that had heritage value, including statutory listed historic buildings). As already explained, the proposal was contrary to established planning policy, but this was occasionally permitted when the public benefit of the development was demonstrated to outweigh areas of harm.

Debra Inston went on to advise that the works proposed in the application were considered to be the minimum necessary in order to bridge the conservation deficit. The protection, restoration and preservation of The Grotto for future generations to enjoy was of considerable public benefit. She felt that the benefits outweighed the dis-benefits of the enabling development.

The benefits of the restoration would be secured by completing the Section 106 Legal Agreement. A key aspect of the Agreement would be to ensure that commercial elements of the development (the 'enabling development') did not proceed without the heritage aspects of the works being undertaken first. In line with this, the update report detailed a phased development. This phasing would ensure that the repair/restoration works remained a step ahead of the enabling development works. It would also ensure that the works to The Grotto were completed well in advance of the completion of the enabling development. Turning to Phase 1 as an example, it was recommended 'Not to commence development in respect of Enabling Development Phase 1 unless and until Phase 1 Repairs/Restoration works had been completed to the written satisfaction of the Council'.

This was the recommendation for each phase and would be incorporated into the Section 106 Legal Agreement.

A requirement would also be placed upon the future owner of The Grotto to agree in writing with the Council the terms of a Management Plan. The purpose of such an obligation would be to secure the long term maintenance of the building and surrounding landscape that formed the subject matter of the enabling development to which the planning agreement would relate.

Cheryl Willett added that Knight Frank considered a hotel as the most preferable use of The Grotto and a strong business model had been proposed.

The site sat within the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and was adjacent to the Chilterns AONB. The impact on the landscape had therefore been assessed and landscaping requirements were a condition of approval to lessen the level of harm on the AONB. In addition, a clause within the S106 Legal Agreement would be to retain areas of vegetation in perpetuity.

Cheryl Willett also made the point that the previously approved, now expired scheme, for residential use of The Grotto would have created a similar visual impact. While this proposal would impact on the AONB, she reiterated that the works proposed were considered to be the minimum necessary in order to bridge the conservation deficit. On balance, officers considered the scheme as acceptable for the AONB.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

In terms of access to the site, Cheryl Willett drew attention to the plan contained with the update report which showed a proposed revised access to The Grotto in order to improve visibility.

In summary, Cheryl Willett repeated that there were balanced matters for Members to consider. The officer recommendation was for approval subject to conditions and the completion of the Section 106 Legal Agreement. If the Legal Agreement was not completed within the specified timeframe then planning permission would be refused. The proposal was felt to be the most viable use to secure the future of the building whilst also minimising harm from the enabling development. Officers had worked closely with consultants and the applicant on bringing the application to this conclusion. This was considered to be a unique case and therefore approval would not set a precedent.

In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Mr Geoff Couchman, Parish Council representative, and Mr Harry Harris, Mr Paul Forster, Ms Helen Selwyn and Mr Philip Oag, applicant/agent, addressed the Committee on this application.

Parish Council Representation

Mr Couchman in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- Basildon Parish Council was supportive of the application and the enabling procedures outlined in the report.
- It was pleasing to see the progress that had been made with developing this application and the extensive amount of work undertaken on the application was commended.
- The building was gradually deteriorating and there was a concern that it could become unsightly.
- The Parish Council requested that, should permission be granted, any lighting installed should not be obtrusive to residents. 'Dark night skies' needed to be retained.

Applicant/Agent Representation

Mr Harris in addressing the Committee raised the following points:

- SUSD Goring Ltd was a specialist design company. They understood the market and had sympathetically restored other listed buildings. They also had recent experience of designing London hotels.
- The mass proposed for the development was similar to the previously approved residential scheme that had already been referred to and it was felt that this proposal was of a higher architectural quality. It was considered unlikely that The Grotto would be used again as a single house.
- The design changes requested by Historic England had been adhered to and the proposed scale had been reduced as a result. West Berkshire Council was supportive of these changes.
- The ultimate aim was to provide a publically accessible building, with facilities open to the general public.
- The development would create jobs, both in the construction phase and after as 183 jobs were anticipated once the hotel was in operation.
- The proposal for the 6 detached lodge units and the 2 x 4 bedroom family homes formed part of the enabling development. As already described by officers, the works

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

proposed in the application were considered to be the minimum necessary in order to bridge the conservation deficit.

- They had worked in collaboration with the Council's Planners in order to secure the best future for the asset. This included negotiation of the Heads of Terms for the Section 106 Legal Agreement. Mr Harris gave thanks to officers for their participation.
- The Planning Officer had, on balance, recommended approval.

Questions from Members

In response to questions from Councillor Alan Law, Mr Harris advised that to date there was no buyer for the property and this was a speculative proposal. However, he gave an example of a similar development where SUSD had formed its own management company to run the property. He also clarified that the enabling development would still be necessary with a buyer in place due to the very high costs involved for the development.

Mr Harris then gave further detail on public accessibility. The bar and restaurant would be publically accessible, it was the hope that The Grotto would be sustained by both local residents and visitors. In terms of the spa, it was envisaged that this would operate on a membership arrangement.

Councillor Pamela Bale queried if there would be the facility for boats to moor along the river in order to access The Grotto. Mr Harris explained that while this had not been considered in any detail, it was hoped that this would be possible. There were currently mooring rights for three boats.

Councillor Graham Bridgman made reference to the plan in the update report and to paragraph 18.4 of the main report, and queried the anticipated change in vehicle movements when comparing this proposed use with when The Grotto had been used as office accommodation. Mr Harris gave the view that this would not be materially different. He acknowledged that there would be increased intensification of traffic at peak times but did not feel this would make a significant difference.

Councillor Bridgman followed this by referring to the concerns raised by neighbours in relation to the proposal to adjust the access into the site from the main road by approximately 50 metres. Paragraph 18.7 of the report described the outcome of a speed survey which made it possible to reduce the visibility requirement. The proposed adjustment of the access would enable visibility in the eastbound direction of 178.8 metres (an increase from the current 122 metres), but the westbound visibility would remain at 215 metres. He asked the applicant/agent to provide their view in response to the concerns of neighbours and whether it was considered necessary to move the access. In response, Mr Forster explained that the 215 metres was the minimum visibility requirement (westbound). The movement of the access was designed to enhance safety and was in response to a requirement from West Berkshire Council to make safety improvements.

Councillor Sheila Ellison queried what would be done to help mitigate concerns in relation to increased noise. Mr Harris described the importance of ensuring that the hotel guests were not disturbed at night and therefore noise levels would be managed. There was the potential for holding louder events, but licensing hours would be adhered to.

Ward Member Representation

Councillor Law addressed the Committee as Ward Member and raised the following points:

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

- He commended officers for their work on this planning application over a long period of time (approximately two years) and for the very comprehensive report.
- The Grotto had become an unattractive building over time. The view of local residents was that the building needed major restoration/refurbishment or be demolished. It was however a very historic and significant building. A number of residents had made use of The Grotto in the past for special events.
- This was a very sensitive site in the AONB and by the River Thames. It therefore needed careful consideration.
- While he was unfamiliar with enabling developments, he noted the detailed work of officers and consultants who felt that this qualified as an enabling development. He accepted their viewpoint.
- Councillor Law questioned the absence of a viability appraisal being provided with the application and no detailed discussion on this point.
- On balance, he was supportive of the application, but he had reservations as this was a speculative proposal (as advised by the applicant) and there was therefore no guaranteed end result with no owner in place. The resultant concern was that the dwellings, which he considered to be relatively distant from The Grotto, could be built without achieving the restoration of the historic site. A new development in the countryside would normally be a point of concern.
- He was not opposed to the proposal for a hotel other than a preference for a smaller scale.
- Councillor Law highlighted the importance of agreeing the Section 106 Legal Agreement and the Heads of Terms.
- Aspects in relation to lighting and noise concerns needed to be covered in the debate. He felt that stronger conditions were required on those points.

Member questions to Officers

Councillor Quentin Webb referred to the phasing process and queried who would have the responsibility to ensure that repairs/restoration works had been completed satisfactorily. Debra Inston explained that this would fall to herself and/or a colleague and would involve a site visit. A named individual had not been specified. Sharon Armour (Solicitor) suggested that the Head of Development and Planning be named for this purpose. A requirement could be placed on the developer to notify the Council once works had been completed and no further works carried out until the works had been inspected and signed off as satisfactory.

Councillor Law added his understanding that each phase would require a formal sign off. Councillor Emma Webster commented that a similar process was undertaken for the restoration of Shaw House and therefore a precedent had been set for the process.

Councillor Bridgman explained that he had discussed the precise wording of the phasing schedule with the Legal Officer. He had suggested that this wording (once fully agreed) be included in the Section 106 Legal Agreement to ensure this was water tight. Councillor Bridgman sought confirmation that each phase would need to be completed before proceeding to the next phase. Cheryl Willett confirmed this would be the case.

Councillor Bridgman then referred back to the concerns raised by neighbours in relation to the proposed new access point. Gareth Dowding (Senior Engineer) explained that there would be an intensification at the access arising from the new dwellings and increased traffic movements from staff and visitors to The Grotto. This would include

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

weekends and differing shift patterns of staff. He therefore considered it entirely appropriate to adjust the access point to achieve improved visibility. Councillor Law added his view that the use of the access would be enhanced in comparison to when the building was used as an office.

Councillor Alan Macro noted that the proposed development included the 1,440sqm refurbishment and restoration of the existed listed building and a 1,400sqm extension to the west of the existing building, close to doubling the footprint. Reference was also made in the report to lost wings and he queried whether the proposed extension was new build or whether it served to replace some/all of the lost wings of the building. Cheryl Willett confirmed that the 1400sqm extension was the coach house extension.

Councillor Richard Crumly noted from the site history that an application for listed building consent was pending consideration. He queried if this would be granted automatically, should this application be approved, or could listed building consent potentially be refused. Debra Inston explained that the impact on the listed building had been assessed and the works proposed were felt to be acceptable. She felt therefore that the listed building consent could be granted, subject to the provision of an up to date works schedule and subject to the approval of this application, relatively quickly. The works schedule would feed into the Section 106 Legal Agreement.

Councillor Bridgman felt that the repairs and restoration works proposed would adhere to the listed building requirements.

Councillor Pamela Bale queried what would happen in the event that the developer ceased works at a certain point, i.e. post phase two. Debra Inston explained that the substantial repair works would be undertaken at phase one. This phase would ensure the building was structurally sound and waterproof. The works would become more cosmetic after this stage.

Debate

Councillor Webster commented that she was excited by this proposal to bring the building back to its former glory. It would be of benefit to West Berkshire and would give visitors the opportunity to see inside the building. She understood there was a degree of nervousness for the reasons already described, but the requirements for enabling developments were very tightly managed and helped to alleviate concerns. Councillor Webster had read through the detailed report and supporting documentation, and felt the building would be lovingly restored.

Councillor Webster also commended the Parish Council for their support for the proposals and for the detailed work they had put into the process.

Councillor Crumly was reassured by the schedule of works and was pleased that the building would be restored. He too understood the concerns that had been raised, but was reassured at the efforts made by officers to mitigate these. He proposed approval of the Officer recommendation to grant conditional planning permission, subject to the agreement of the Section 106 Legal Agreement. This was seconded by Councillor Law.

Councillor Bridgman added his thanks to Officers for all their work on this application. He endorsed the view that the Section 106 Legal Agreement needed to include the requirement to complete works in a phased manner.

Councillor Law, in seconding the report, requested that Condition 28 (no amplified music) be tightened to ensure that amplified music be stopped at 11.00pm. This would be in line with paragraph 20.4 of the report. Councillor Bridgman felt that this was a consideration for the premises licence and was therefore a licensing function. Sharon Armour clarified that such points were often also covered by planning, but could be an issue if there were

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

conflicting views between the two functions. She felt that the condition could be amended if there was a planning reason to do so. Councillor Webster felt that exemptions would be needed, i.e. on New Year's Eve.

Councillor Crumly, as proposer, accepted the inclusion of the 11.00pm limit in the amplified music condition.

RESOLVED that the Head of Development and Planning be authorised to grant planning permission subject to the following conditions, and the completion of a Section 106 Legal Agreement within three months of the date of this permission (or a longer period of time agreed by the Head of Development and Planning in consultation with the Chairman/Vice Chairman of the Eastern Area Planning Committee and Ward Member).

Or, if the Section 106 Legal Agreement is not completed within the above timeframe, to delegate to the Head of Development and Planning to refuse planning permission for failure to secure the Heads of Terms detailed in Sections 24 and 25.2 of the report (shown below).

Councillor Graham Pask took the opportunity, post the resolution, to add his thanks to officers for all their work. He also extended thanks to the applicant/agent for their efforts on this complicated application.

Conditions

1. Time limit

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).

2. Plans approved

The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved drawings and other documents listed below:

- (i) 1503_3100 (location plan) and 1503_3222 (Spa elevations and sections) received on 1/01/2017;
- (ii) 1503_3228 and 3219 (boathouse elevations and floor plans) received on 02/02/2017;
- (iii) 1503_3105 P2 (site plan proposed), 1503_3210 P1 (hotel spa and lodges lower ground floor plan), 1503_3211 P1 (hotel spa and lodges ground floor plan), 1503_3213 P1 (hotel spa and lodges first floor plan), 1503_3214 P1 (hotel spa and lodges roof plan), 1503_3215 P1 (houses lower ground floor plan), 1503_3216 P1 (houses ground floor plan), 1503_3217 P1 (houses first floor plan), 1503_3217 P1 (houses roof plan), 1503_3220 P1 (hotel and spa north and south elevations), 1503_3221 P1 (hotel and spa east and west elevations), 1503_3224 P1 (lodges north and south elevations), 1503_3230 P1 (hotel sections AA & BB), and 1503_3231 P1 (hotel sections CC) received 21/11/18;
- (iv) 1503_3227 P2 (houses typical elevations) received 07/01/19.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interest of proper planning.

3 Hotel and lodges C1 use

The Grotto building with extensions and the lodges hereby permitted shall be used solely as a hotel use and for no other purpose including any other

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

purpose in Class C1 of the Schedule of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) (or an order revoking and re-enacting that Order, with or without modification).

Reason: Any other use may not be acceptable on the site, given the particular case made for the hotel development on this site, and the sensitive location within the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and adjacent to the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP1, ADPP5, CS10, CS11, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006) and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

4 **Hotel number of bedrooms restricted to 53**

The number of bedrooms within the hotel shall be limited to 53, unless permission has been granted on an application made for that purpose.

Reason: Given the particular case made for a boutique hotel, highway and parking impacts, and the character of the area and the Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP1, ADPP5, CS10, CS11, CS13, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006 - 2026), Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006) and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

5 **Materials (houses)**

No development of the residential dwellings shall take place until a schedule of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the two dwellings and hard surfaced areas hereby permitted has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to these matters which have been detailed in the current application. Samples of the materials shall be made available for inspection on request. Thereafter the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved materials.

Reason: To ensure that the external materials are visually attractive and respond to local character. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

6 **Materials (hotel, extension, lodges, coach house extension, spa, boathouse)**

No development of the conversion of the hotel, extensions (including coach house extension), spa, lodges and boathouse shall take place until a schedule of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the two dwellings and hard surfaced areas hereby permitted has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to these matters which have been detailed in the current application. Samples of the materials shall be made available for inspection on request. Thereafter the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved materials.

Reason: To ensure that the external materials are visually attractive and respond to local character. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

7 **Lighting scheme**

No development (of either the residential dwellings or the works to the Grotto) shall take place until details of the external lighting to be used across the site (for the residential dwellings, in the car parking areas, to the Grotto building, to the extensions, to the lodges, to the spa, to the tennis courts, to the boathouse, and within the grounds hereby permitted) have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The external lighting shall be installed in accordance with the approved scheme before the buildings, including the residential dwellings hereby permitted are occupied, or in accordance with a timetable to be submitted and agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority as part of the details submitted for this condition. No external lighting shall be installed except for that expressly authorised by the approval of details as part of this condition. The approved external lighting shall thereafter be retained.

Reason: The Local Planning Authority wish to be satisfied that these details are satisfactory, having regard to the setting of the development and to protect the character of the area, and in consideration of the ecological value of the site. The area is unlit at night and benefits from dark night skies. Inappropriate external lighting would harm the special rural character of the locality. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS17, and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006).

8 **Ground levels**

No development shall take place until details of the finished floor and ground levels of the dwellings, coach house extension, spa, lodges and car parking areas hereby permitted in relation to existing and proposed ground levels have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved levels.

Reason: To ensure the ground levels are appropriate on site, taking into account the site's sensitive location within the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and adjacent to the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006).

9 Boundary treatment

Should any new or replacement fencing or other means of enclosure be proposed no development shall commence on site until details of all fencing and other means of enclosure have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This condition shall apply irrespective of any indications as to the details that may have been submitted with the application, and shall where necessary include a schedule of materials and drawings demonstrating the layout of the means of enclosure. Thereafter, and where appropriate, the hotel and dwellings shall not be first brought into use until the fencing and other means of enclosure have been erected in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: Fencing can have a significant impact upon the rural and historic character of the site and the application is not accompanied by sufficient details to enable the Local Planning Authority to give proper consideration to these matters. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS18, and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

10 Landscaping scheme

No development or other operations shall commence on site until a detailed scheme of landscaping for the site is submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The details shall include schedules of plants noting species, plant sizes and proposed numbers/densities, an implementation programme and details of written specifications including cultivation and other operations involving tree, shrub and grass establishment. The scheme shall ensure;

- a) Completion of the approved landscape scheme within the first planting season following completion of development.
- b) Any trees shrubs or plants that die or become seriously damaged within five years of this development shall be replaced in the following year by plants of the same size and species.

Reason: To ensure the implementation of a satisfactory scheme of landscaping, to aid in softening the impact of development in this sensitive location within the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and adjacent to the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS18 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

11 Landscape management scheme

No development or other operations shall commence on site until a landscape management plan including long term design objectives, management responsibilities and maintenance schedules for a minimum

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

period of 10 years has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The plan shall include any areas of existing landscaping including woodlands and also include any areas of proposed landscaping other than areas of private domestic gardens.

Reason: To ensure the long term management of existing and proposed landscaping. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS18 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

12 **Tree protection scheme**

No development or other operations (including site clearance and any other preparatory works) shall take place until a scheme for the protection of trees to be retained has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Such a scheme shall include a plan showing the location of the protective fencing, and shall specify the type of protective fencing, to be in accordance with B.S.5837:2012. Such fencing shall be erected prior to any development works taking place and at least 2 working days notice shall be given to the Local Planning Authority that it has been erected. It shall be maintained and retained for the full duration of works or until such time as agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. No activities or storage of materials whatsoever shall take place within the protected areas without the prior written agreement of the Local Planning Authority.

Note: The protective fencing should be as specified at Chapter 6 and detailed in figure 2 of B.S.5837:2012.

Reason: To ensure the enhancement of the development by the retention of existing trees and natural features during the construction phase. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS18 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

13 **Tree protection – construction precautions**

No development or other operations shall take place until details of the proposed access, hard surfacing, drainage, soakaways and services providing for the protection of the root zones of trees to be retained has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS18 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

14 **Arboricultural method statement**

No development, site clearance and/or other preparatory works shall take place until an arboricultural method statement has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The statement shall include details of the implementation, supervision and monitoring of all temporary tree protection and any special construction works within any defined tree protection area. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved statement.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS18 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

15 **Arboricultural supervision**

No development or other operations (including site clearance, demolition and any other preparatory works) shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of an arboricultural watching brief in accordance with a written scheme of site monitoring, which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure the protection of trees identified for retention at the site. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5, CS14, CS18 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

16 **Parking/turning in accordance with plans (hotel use)**

The hotel shall not be brought into use until the vehicle parking and turning space have been surfaced, marked out and provided in accordance with the approved plans. The parking and turning space shall thereafter be kept available for parking (of private motor cars and light goods vehicles) at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development is provided with adequate parking facilities, in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking that would adversely affect road safety and the flow of traffic. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

17 **Access construction**

The hotel shall not be brought into use until the proposed access onto the public highway has been constructed in accordance with the approved drawings.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

Reason: In the interest of road safety. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

18 **Passing places**

The hotel shall not be brought into use until the passing places proposed within the access have been constructed in accordance with the approved drawings.

Reason: In the interest of road safety, to avoid conflict between users of the hotel and facilities. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

19 **S278 highway works**

The hotel shall not be brought into use until the following highway works have been completed:

- a. Provision of new access onto the A329 as shown on drawing GA008 Rev A
- b. Closure of existing access onto the A329 with reinstatement of verge
- c. Any associated works

The works shall be completed under a section 278 Agreement of the Highways Act 1980 or other appropriate mechanism

Reason: In the interest of road safety and to achieve the necessary visibility splays for the use of the road from the A329 by hotel users. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

20 **Travel plan**

No development relating to the hotel use shall take place until a Travel Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Travel Plan shall be implemented from the hotel first being brought into use. It should be reviewed and updated if necessary within 6 months of first implementation. After that the Travel Plan shall be annually reviewed and updated and all reasonable practicable steps made to achieve the agreed targets and measures within the timescales set out in the plan and any subsequent revisions.

Reason: To ensure the development reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and provides the appropriate level of vehicle parking. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP1, CS10, CS14 and CS15 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006), and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

21 Cycle parking

The hotel shall not be brought into use until the cycle parking has been provided in accordance with the approved drawings and this area shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of cycles at all times.

Reason: To ensure the development reduces reliance on private motor vehicles and assists with the parking, storage and security of cycles. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

22 Ecology – protective fencing

No development or site works shall commence until protective fencing has been erected at the boundary of the retained habitat area. This boundary must include any root protection area as identified within the arboricultural report (ACD Arboriculture 2014 and 2014b). This must include a standoff zone of at least 5 metres for all vehicles. Constriction vehicles will make use of existing hard standing areas where possible to protect these areas from root compaction.

Reason: In response to the loss of the meadow grassland and to protect the remaining habitat areas. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS17 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

23 Ecology – Reptile receptor sites

No development or site works shall commence until the reptile receptor sites identified in Appendices L and N of the PV Ecology Phase II Bat and Reptile Report have been put in place and surrounded by suitable reptile fencing.

Reason: In response to the loss of the meadow grassland and to protect the remaining habitat areas. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS17 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

24 Habitat Enhancement and Management Plan

No development or site works shall commence until a Habitat Enhancement and Management Plan (HEMP) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This should detail the planting, enhancement and long-term management of the site that will mitigate for the loss of any habitats with existing value to wildlife.

Reason: To protect and enhance biodiversity features on site. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS17 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

25 **SuDS**

The development hereby permitted shall not be brought into use and no dwelling hereby permitted shall be occupied until the sustainable drainage measures identified in the Flood Risk Assessment by Glanville have been provided in accordance with the approved details. The sustainable drainage measures shall be maintained in the approved condition thereafter.

Reason: To ensure that surface water will be managed in a sustainable manner. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS16 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design – Part 4 Sustainable Design Techniques (June 2006).

26 **Works adjacent to river**

No development shall commence on the boathouse until a landscape and ecological management plan, including long- term design objectives, management responsibilities and maintenance schedules for all landscaped areas within 10 metres of the top of the river bank has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the landscape management plan shall be implemented in full in conjunction with the construction of the boathouse element only.

The scheme shall include the following elements:

- clear identification of the areas of river bank that are to be returned to a natural state and/or enhanced. This should include a clear statement and drawings relating to the length of river bank to be enhanced for biodiversity;
- The naturalised banks should include a thick margin of natural vegetation left to develop rather than be mown as a formal landscaped edge;
- The banks should slope down gently to the waters edge and be planted with suitable wetland species;
- The existing mooring platforms downstream of the proposed boathouse and its associated moorings (should they still be in place) shall be removed within three months of the first use of the boathouse.

Reason: To ensure the protection of wildlife and supporting habitat and secure opportunities for the enhancement of the nature conservation value of the site. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP1, CS14, CS17 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006).

27 **Boathouse voids**

No development shall commence on the boathouse until drawings illustrating the insertion of voids within the walls of the boat house have been submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the development shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To allow the free flow of water through the building during a flood.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS16 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), and Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006).

28 **No amplified music**

No amplified or other music shall be played from the external area surrounding the hotel, unless permission has been granted in writing by the Local Planning Authority in respect of a planning application. Where such permission is granted no amplified or other music shall be played any later than 11.00pm.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of surrounding occupiers, and in respect of the existing tranquillity of the area. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP5 and CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policies OVS5 and OVS6 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

29 **PD rights for houses**

Notwithstanding the provisions of Article 3 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (as amended) (or any order revoking, re-enacting or modifying that Order), no extensions, alterations, buildings or other development which would otherwise be permitted by Schedule 2, Part 1, Classes A, B, C and E of that Order shall be carried out on the two dwellings hereby approved, without planning permission being granted by the Local Planning Authority on an application made for that purpose.

Reason: The two dwellings have been approved under exceptional circumstances, and further development should be considered in full by the Local Planning Authority, given the dwellings' location within the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, adjacent to the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and within the setting of a Grade II listed building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies ADPP4, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006) and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

30 **Unexpected contamination**

Should any unforeseen contamination be encountered during the development, the developer shall inform the Local Planning Authority (LPA) immediately. Any subsequent investigation/remedial/protective works deemed necessary by the LPA shall be carried out to agreed timescales and approved by the LPA in writing. If no contamination is encountered during the development, a letter confirming this fact shall be submitted to the LPA upon completion of the development.

Reason: In order to protect the amenities of proposed occupants and users of the application site. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS14 of the West

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policies OVS5 and OVS6 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

31 **Protection from external noise**

No development on the two residential dwellings shall commence until details of a scheme of works, for protecting the occupiers of the development from externally generated noise, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. All works forming part of the scheme shall be completed before the dwellings are first brought into use.

Reason: In order to protect the amenities of proposed residents of the Dwellings. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006- 2026) and Policy OVS6 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991- 2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

32 **Design criteria for noise from new plant**

Noise resulting from the use of new plant such as mechanical ventilation units, extract systems, air source heat pumps, air conditioning units etc shall meet the design criteria detailed in paragraph 7.6 of the Noise Impact Assessment by Ian Sharland, ref M3796, dated 12 January 2017.

Reason: In the interests of the amenity of neighbouring residents (including those of the two new dwellings). This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy OVS6 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991- 2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

33 **Archaeology**

No site works or development shall take place within the application site until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved statement.

Reason: To ensure that any significant archaeological remains that are found are adequately recorded. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

34 **Hours of construction**

No demolition or construction works shall take place outside the following hours:

7:30am to 6:00pm Mondays to Fridays;
8:30am to 1:00pm Saturdays;
nor at any time on Sundays or Bank Holidays.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of adjoining land uses and occupiers. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

Framework (March 2012), and Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

35 **Hours of deliveries**

No deliveries shall be taken at the site outside the following hours:

7:30am to 6:00pm Mondays to Fridays;
8:30 am to 1:00pm Saturdays;
nor at any time on Sundays or Bank Holidays.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of surrounding occupiers. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policy CS14 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

36 **Construction Management Plan**

No development shall take place until a Construction Method Statement has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The statement shall provide for:

- (a) The parking of vehicles of site operatives and visitors;
- (b) Loading and unloading of plant and materials;
- (c) Storage of plant and materials used in constructing the development;
- (d) Wheel washing facilities;
- (e) Measures to control the emission of dust and dirt during construction;
- (f) A scheme for recycling/disposing of waste resulting from demolition and construction works;

Thereafter the demolition and construction works shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved statement.

Reason: To safeguard the amenity of adjoining land uses and occupiers, in the interests of highway safety, and to ensure construction related features do not have adverse impact on the grounds of the listed building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Policies OVS5 and OVS6 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007), Supplementary Planning Document Quality Design (June 2006) and the Village Design Statement for Basildon.

37 **Water Supply**

No development shall commence until impact studies of the existing water supply infrastructure have been submitted to and approved in writing by, the local planning authority (in consultation with Thames Water). The studies should determine the magnitude of any new additional capacity required in the system and a suitable connection point.

Reason: To ensure that the water supply infrastructure has sufficient capacity to cope with the/this additional demand. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012),

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

Policy CS5 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

INFORMATIVES

1 Proactive actions of the LPA

The Local Planning Authority (LPA) has worked with the applicant in a positive and proactive manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in relation to dealing with a planning application. In particular, the LPA:

- a) Provided the applicant with a case officer as a single point of contact.
- b) Engaged with specialist advisors to assess the enabling development case and the impact on the Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty.
- c) Negotiated amended plans to limit the harm to the setting of the listed building and AONBs.

2 Tie to LBC

The planning permission will need to be undertaken in conjunction with a Listed Building Consent, which is yet to be determined.

3 EA Water resources

Under the terms of the Water Resources Act 1991, the prior written consent of the Environment Agency is required for any discharge of sewage or trade effluent into controlled waters (e.g. watercourses and underground waters), and may be required for any discharge of surface water to such controlled waters or for any discharge of sewage or trade effluent from buildings or fixed plant into or onto ground or into waters which are not controlled waters. Such consent may be withheld. Contact the National Customer Contact Centre on 0870 8500 250 for further details.

4 EA permit

The developer shall ensure the correct permits are obtained from the Environment Agency in relation to the boathouse, inlet and any other works to the riverside.

5 Thames Water

Surface Water Drainage - With regard to surface water drainage it is the responsibility of a developer to make proper provision for drainage to ground, water courses or a suitable sewer. In respect of surface water it is recommended that the applicant should ensure that storm flows are attenuated or regulated into the receiving public network through on or off site storage. When it is proposed to connect to a combined public sewer, the site drainage should be separate and combined at the final manhole nearest the boundary. Connections are not permitted for the removal of groundwater. Where the developer proposes to discharge to a public sewer, prior approval from Thames Water Developer Services will be required. The contact number is 0800 009 3921. Reason - to ensure that the surface water discharge from the site shall not be detrimental to the existing sewerage system.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

6 Thames Water

Thames Water recommends the installation of a properly maintained fat trap on all catering establishments. We further recommend, in line with best practice for the disposal of Fats, Oils and Grease, the collection of waste oil by a contractor, particularly to recycle for the production of bio diesel. Failure to implement these recommendations may result in this and other properties suffering blocked drains, sewage flooding and pollution to local watercourses.

7 Thames Water

Thames Water would recommend that petrol / oil interceptors be fitted in all car parking/washing/repair facilities. Failure to enforce the effective use of petrol / oil interceptors could result in oil-polluted discharges entering local watercourses.

8 Thames Water

Any property involving a swimming pool with a volume exceeding 10 cubic metres of water will need metering. The Applicant should contact Thames water on 0800 316 9800.

9 Construction/demolition

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the requirements of Section 60 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 in respect of the minimisation of noise on construction and demolition sites. Application, under Section 61 of the Act, for prior consent to the works, can be made to the Environmental Health and Licensing Manager.

25.2 REFUSAL REASONS

The application fails to provide a Section 106 Planning Obligation to deliver the necessary phasing of works to secure the future of the listed building in relation to the enabling development elements, and for mitigation measures, including:

- (a) Phasing of the repair of The Grotto house and construction and occupation of the enabling development elements, together with timings, without which the proposal would be contrary to the NPPF and Historic England's guidance on 'Enabling Development and the Conservation of Significant Places';
- (b) Management Plan to secure the long term maintenance of the Grotto and surrounding landscape, without which the proposal would be contrary to the NPPF and Historic England's guidance on 'Enabling Development and the Conservation of Significant Places'.
- (c) Employment Skills Plan to identify and promote employment opportunities generated by the development, without which the proposal would be contrary to the NPPF, and Policies CS9 and CS10 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).
- (d) Landscape retention and management strategy, without which the proposal would be contrary to the NPPF, and Policies ADPP5, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

48. Appeal Decisions relating to Eastern Area Planning

Members noted the outcome of appeal decisions relating to the Eastern Area.

EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE - 16 JANUARY 2019 - MINUTES

49. Site Visits

A date of 30 January 2019 was agreed for site visits if necessary. This was in advance of the next Eastern Area Planning Committee scheduled for 6 February 2019.

(The meeting commenced at 6.30pm and closed at 7.50pm)

CHAIRMAN

Date of Signature

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4.(1)

Item No	Application No. and Parish	8/13 week date	Proposal, Location and Applicant
(1)	18/02866/HOUSE Thatcham	28 th December 2018	Erection of two storey side extension. Retrospective. 8 Alexander Road Thatcham RG19 4QU Ms Tompkins

To view the plans and drawings relating to this application click the following link:
<http://planning.westberks.gov.uk/rpp/index.asp?caseref=18/02866/HOUSE>

Recommendation Summary: to **DELEGATE** to the Head of Planning & Countryside to **APPROVE PLANNING PERMISSION** subject to conditions

Ward Member: Councillor Rob Denton-Powell

Reason for Committee Determination: Over 10 letters of objection

Committee Site Visit: 30 January 2019

Contact Officer Details

Name: Isabel Oettinger
Job Title: Planning Officer
Tel No: (01635) 519111
E-mail Address: Isabel.oettinger@westberks.gov.uk

1. Relevant Site History

17/00940/HOUSE	Side extension over existing garage and utility room.	Approved 15/06/17
----------------	---	-------------------

2. Publicity of Application

Site Notice erected: 21/11/18

Site notice expired: 12/12/18

3. Consultations and Representations

3.1 Consultations

**Thatcham
Town
Council**

Object:

- Detrimental impact on value of neighbouring properties at numbers 6 and 12.
- Detrimental impact on amenity of neighbours at numbers 6 and 12
- De facto creation of terrace of properties, currently semi-detached
- Contrary to design guidance – not subservient

Highways Officer: no objection. Please apply condition to retain parking on site

3.2 Representations

Total representations received: 34

Object: 29 standard duplicate letter, 3 non standard letter with same issues raised.

Impartial: 2

The material planning considerations raised which are impartial to the application are summarised as follows:

- Does setting of the end gable wall abutting the adjacent property now make no. 8-12 Alexander road a terrace and whether it affects the definition of other dwellings from semi-detached to terrace.
- The visual separation between no.8 and no. 10 is maintained and the houses are still considered semi-detached.

The material planning considerations raised in objection to the application are summarised as follows. There are 29 number of same format letters:

- Inaccurate application: the end gable wall has not been set to abut the boundary line, the external walls of number 8 and number 10 have been built together to form a party wall.
- Terracing: The extension of both number 8 and number 10 to the boundary line will create a terracing effect which is not in keeping with the road or estate.
- Precedent: To allow 2 properties to joint or even abut would set a precedent for the rest of the road.

The Representations received also make reference to the planning application at no.10 Alexander Road.

4 Planning Policy

4.1 The statutory development plan includes the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026, the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007) (WBDLP) and the Housing Sites Allocations DPD (2006-2026).

4.2 The following policies within the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) attract full weight. The following policies are relevant to this application:

- ADPP1: Spatial Strategy;
- ADPP3: Thatcham
- CS 13: Transport;
- CS 14: Design Principles;
- CS 19: Historic Environment and Landscape Character.

4.3 The policies of the West Berkshire District Local Plan (1991-2006) Saved Policies 2007 are relevant to this application:

- OVS5: Environmental Nuisance and Pollution Control

4.4 The following locally adopted West Berkshire Council policy documents are relevant to this application:

- Supplementary Planning Document, Quality Design (June 2006) – Part 2 Residential Development;
- Supplementary Planning Document, Quality Design (June 2006) – Part 3 Residential Character Framework;
- House Extensions SP4 04/02 (July 2004)

5. Description of Development

5.1 The application site is within the settlement boundary for Thatcham. The area surrounding the site is an established residential area with a mix of two storey semi-detached dwellings with garages. There have been a number of previous extensions within the area.

5.2 Retrospective planning permission is sought for the erection of a first floor extension over the existing flat roof garage, maintaining a garage and front porch area. The side element extends out level with the ridge of the main part of the property to the site boundary.

5.3 The rear of the dwelling extends at two storey level with a 45 degree angle pitched roof with a gable end set down from the main ridge and extending over the existing flat roof element to the east.

5.4 Permission was previously granted for a very similar development under application ref: 17/00940/HOUSE. The current retrospective application has been submitted

because the scheme was not built in complete accordance with the previous permission.

- 5.5 Therefore the assessment of the current application centres on any changes within the vicinity of the site and the degree of change from that previously approved. The previous permission was for a two storey side extending at ridge height over the existing garage up to 300mm from the site boundary. To the rear, the extension extended out 4 metres at first floor level over the existing single storey extension to the width of the garage to match eaves height with a fully hipped end set down from the main ridge.
- 5.6 The previous permission is considered to have been lawfully implemented with additional changes as follows. From the front, the extension continues along the main ridge as previously permitted but now extends to the site boundary, an addition of approximately 300 mm width. However, since the last application, no.10 has built an extension, also up to the boundary at 2 storey level which alters the views of the application site.
- 5.7 Viewed from the rear, the two storey gable end maintains its set in from the site boundary but was previously approved as a fully hipped end with set down from the main ridge. The first floor element now has a gable end with a 45 degree angle to the ridge. The proposed plans submitted with the application shows an approximate 60 degree angle and amended plans have been requested but not yet received.

6. Community Infrastructure Levy

- 6.1 Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is a levy charged on most new development to pay for new infrastructure required as a result of the new development. CIL will be charged on residential (C3 and C4) and retail (A1 - A5) development at a rate per square metre (based on Gross Internal Area) on new development of more than 100 square metres of net floorspace (including extensions) or when a new dwelling is created (even if it is less than 100 square metres).
- 6.2 Based on the CIL PAIR form, it appears that the CIL liability for this development will be zero. However, CIL liability is formally confirmed by the CIL Charging Authority under separate cover following the grant of any permission.

7. Consideration of the proposal

7.1 The main issues raised by the proposal are

- The principle of development
- Design of the scheme
- Impact on neighbouring amenity
- Highway Safety

7.2 The principle of development

7.2.1 The application site is within the defined settlement boundary for Thatcham, where the extension of an existing dwelling broadly accords with the development plan in principle, subject to detailed design and impacts. Extensions to the existing dwelling

on this site is considered to be acceptable in principle, subject to the consideration of the following matters.

7.3 Design

- 7.3.1 Policy CS14 seeks to ensure that new development is of a high quality sustainable design which respects and enhances the character and appearance of the area, and that considerations of design and layout are informed by the wider context, having regard not just to the immediate area, but to the wider locality. Policy CS19 refers to ensuring that the diversity and local distinctiveness of District, and refers (amongst other things) to having regard to the sensitivity of the area to change, ensuring that development is appropriate in terms of location, scale and design in the context of the settlement form, pattern and character.
- 7.3.2 The current application is retrospective and the design of the previously permitted scheme was considered appropriate within the area. There are a number of similar extensions within the locality. At the time of the previous application, there was no first floor extension built at no. 10 Alexander Road. However, this previous permission is considered extant. The overall scale, massing and appearance of the extension respects the local character and the minor changes do not alter this conclusion.

7.4 Impact on Neighbouring Amenity

- 7.4.1 Policy CS14 requires development to make a positive contribution to the quality of life in West Berkshire. Design advice on assessing the impact of development on the living conditions of adjoining dwellings is contained within the Quality Design SPD and House Extensions SPG. Objections have been raised on the grounds of subsequent de-valuation of adjacent properties, but the impact on property values is not a material planning consideration.
- 7.4.2 The application site is slightly raised from the nearest neighbour at no. 10. The side and rear extensions are located to the north of no. 10 and due to their side-on relationship the extensions will not result in an overbearing or overshadowing impact on the amenities of the occupiers of the neighbouring property.
- 7.4.3 The objections relating to de-valuation of property are not a planning consideration. The planning merits of an extension which extend to the site boundary have been assessed and are not considered to result in a unacceptable level of change sufficient to recommend refusal, particularly when assessed against the previous permission granted.

7.5 Highway Safety

- 7.5.1 Policies C13 and P1 requires development to be acceptable in terms of highway safety and to provide sufficient levels of parking and cycle storage. For the previous permission, the Highways Officer was satisfied with the parking and access arrangements subject to a condition. A similar condition is recommended to be applied.

8. Conclusion

- 8.1 Having regard to the relevant development plan policy considerations and the other material considerations referred to above it is considered that the development is acceptable and that retrospective planning permission should be granted subject to the conditions set out below.

9. Recommendation

- 9.1 To **DELEGATE** to the Head of Development & Planning to **APPROVE PLANNING PERMISSION** subject to the following conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with amended plans relating to the rear gable end (to be provided).

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interest of proper planning.

2. Within two months of the date of this permission, the parking and/or turning space on site shall be provided in accordance with the approved plans and shall thereafter be kept available for parking.


Reason: To ensure the development is provided with adequate parking facilities, in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking that would adversely affect road safety and the flow of traffic. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012), Policy CS13 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) and Policy TRANS1 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007).

Informatives:

1. This decision has been made in a positive way to foster the delivery of sustainable development having regard to Development Plan policies and available guidance to secure high quality appropriate development. In this application whilst there has been a need to balance conflicting considerations, the local planning authority has secured and accepted what is considered to be a development which improves the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area.
2. This planning permission is granted in accordance with Section 73A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

18/02866/HOUSE

8 Alexander Road, Thatcham, RG19 4QU




Map Centre Coordinates :

Scale : 1:9972

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey map with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright 2003.

Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings .

Organisation	West Berkshire Council
Department	
Comments	Not Set
Date	25 January 2019
SLA Number	0100024151



This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4.(2)

Item No	Application No. and Parish	8/13 week date	Proposal, Location and Applicant
(2)	18/02930/HOUSE	31 st December 2018 Agreed Extension of time to 7 th February 2019	Part single storey, part two storey rear extension to the existing single family dwelling house Purley Lodge Cottage, Purley Lane, Purley on Thames, Reading. RG8 8AT Mr Newman and Ms Linning

To view the plans and drawings relating to this application click the following link:
<http://planning.westberks.gov.uk/rpp/index.asp?caseref=18/02930/HOUSE>

Recommendation Summary: **The Head of Development and Planning be authorise to refuse planning permission**

Ward Member(s): Tim Metcalfe
 Rick Jones

Reason for Committee Determination: Call-in by Ward Member

Committee Site Visit: 30th January 2019

Contact Officer Details	
Name:	Sian Cutts
Job Title:	Senior Planning Officer
Tel No:	(01635) 519111
E-mail Address:	sian.cutts@westberks.gov.uk

1. Site History

79/10871/ADD A garage and workroom red brick construction with a grey bituminised felt roof slightly sloping standing on a concrete base situated behind the house. Approved 14.06.1979

12/01430/HOUSE. To rebuild a 1.65m end section of front garden wall to replace the existing brick and flint with hand made brick to continue and match remaining garden wall. Approved 24.08.2012

18/01112/HOUSE. Part single storey, part two storey rear extension to the existing single family dwelling house. Refused 05.07.2018

2. Publicity of Application

Site Notice Expired: 6th December 2018

3. Consultations and Representations

3.1 Consultations

Parish Council: No objections

Highways: This application follows refused application 18/01112/HOUSE where highways requested the provision of 3 driveway parking spaces. This has now been provided on this new application.

The highway recommendation is therefore for conditional approval

Pang Valley Group Of the Ramblers : Bridleway PURL/3/4 is a gravelled track that runs along the western boundary of the property and gives access by a field gate to the rear garden of the property. Purley Lodge Cottage is on a right angle bend in Purley Lane. Double yellow lines mean that no parking is permitted on the lane. Therefore all contractor's vehicles and materials will have to be taken to the property over the route of the bridleway and through the field gate to the rear garden. Whilst the Design and Access Statement acknowledge the existence of the bridleway, it does not give any details of how the work is to be undertaken without blocking the Right of Way.

If the Council are minded to grant permission, we would ask that conditions are imposed requiring that Bridleway PURL/3/4 is kept clear of contractor's vehicles and materials at so that pedestrian and equestrian access is available at all times and that any damage to the surface of the bridleway is re-instated.

Natural England: No comments to make

Archaeology: There are no archaeological implications to this proposal

Ecology: No comments received

3.2 Representations

3.2.1 Three representations were made in support of the application, the comments received can be summarised as follows;

- It will be an attractive addition to the property
- The cottage needs updating, and understand that a conventional extension was considered but difficult, so this proposal was considered.
- Understand the concern, suggest the extension is altered in line with the house wall, to reduce the bulk, and save the view.
- An improvement to the unattractive garage.

4. Policy Considerations

4.1 The statutory development plan comprises the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026 and those saved policies within the West Berkshire District Local Plan 1991-2006 (Saved Policies 2007) (WBDLP) and the Housing Sites Allocations DPD (2006-2026).

4.2 The policies within the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026) attract full weight. The following policies are relevant to this application:

- ADPP1: Spatial Strategy;
- ADPP4: Eastern Area
- CS 13: Transport;
- CS 14: Design Principles;
- CS 16: Flooding;
- CS17: Biodiversity and Geodiversity
- CS 18: Green Infrastructure;
- CS 19: Historic Environment and Landscape Character.

4.3 The policies of the West Berkshire District Local Plan (1991-2006) Saved Policies 2007 attract due weight in accordance with their degree of consistency with the policies of the National Planning Policy Framework. The following saved policies are relevant to this application:

- OVS5: Environmental Nuisance and Pollution Control

4.4 The policies within the Housing Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) attract full weight. The following policies are relevant to this application:

- C1: Location of New Housing in the Countryside;

- P1: Residential Parking for New Development

4.5 In addition, the following locally adopted West Berkshire Council policy documents are relevant to this application:

- Supplementary Planning Document, Quality Design (June 2006) – Part 2 Residential Development;
- Supplementary Planning Document, Quality Design (June 2006) – Part 3 Residential Character Framework;

5. Description of Development

5.1 The application site is a detached Victorian house, known as Purley Lodge Cottage situated on adjacent to the bend in the road of Purley Lane, and adjacent to Bridleway PURL/3/4. The house was extended in the 1970s with a two storey rear extension. The existing vehicular access to the house, utilises the bridleway. The dwelling immediately to the east is Jasmine Cottage, a grade II listed building. The application site is dissected by the eastern urban area settlement boundary. To the north of the site is the edge of the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB).

5.2 Planning permission is sought for the erection of a part single storey, part two storey rear extension to the existing house. The parking area is to be rearranged to provide three car parking spaces. The application is proposing to convert the existing workshop into a kitchen, and to construct a first floor extension above to provide a bedroom and shower room. The converted and extended workshop is proposed to be linked to the existing house, by a single storey link extension, to accommodate a dining room. The existing lean-to side extension to the side of the existing house is proposed to be rebuilt, and the roof reduced in height. The existing brick boundary wall abutting the bridleway is proposed to be raised in height by 400mm. The wall height will vary from 2 metres – 2.9 metres in height, following the topography of the bridleway. The proposed two storey element of the extension is to be clad in timber with a timber roof to match the elevations. Rooflights are proposed within the roof, and a fixed window with privacy screen of horizontal timber louvres is proposed on the west elevation facing the bridleway. It is proposed to be use powder coated aluminium cladding on the single storey link extension to match the proposed windows. The east elevation of the extension facing into the existing garden will also be timber clad with an area of glazing which extends upwards from the ground floor to the first floor, and a set of patio doors to match those used in the link extension. The first floor extension is proposed to be set in 0.5metres from the boundary wall, and the design of the roof and elevations is such that there is no overhang of the eaves. The height of the extension, will vary from 5.4 metres to 5.9 metres (at ridge height) and 4.4 metres to 4.8 metres (at eaves level) following the existing ground level.

5.3 Planning permission was refused in July 2018 (18/0112/HOUSE) for a development of the same description. This proposal has been amended from the previously refused scheme, through the alteration to the design and materials to the first floor extension, through removing the overhanging eaves, and changing the roof material from artificial slate to timber, and altering the design of the privacy louvres on the window facing the bridleway. The parking area has also been reconfigured to provide three parking spaces.

6. Consideration of the Proposal

6.1 The main issues raised by the proposal are;

- The principle of the development
- The impact of the character and appearance of the area
- Neighbouring amenity
- Highway safety

6.2.1 The principle of the development

6.2.2 The application site is within the defined settlement boundary for the Eastern Urban Area, where policy ADPP1 and ADPP4 focusses most development. Policy C1 also has a presumption in favour of residential development within the settlement boundary. Whilst the settlement boundary dissects the site, all proposed development and extensions are within the part of the site included within the settlement boundary, and as such the proposed extension is considered to be acceptable in principle, subject to the following considerations.

6.2.3 The Impact on the Character and Appearance of the Area

6.2.4 Policies CS14 and CS19 seek to ensure that new development is of a high quality sustainable design which respects and enhances the character and appearance of the area, and advises that considerations of design and layout must be informed by the wider context, having regard not just to the immediate area, but to the wider locality. Policy CS19 refers to ensuring that the diversity and local distinctiveness of District, and refers (amongst other things) to having regard to the sensitivity of the area to change, ensuring that development is appropriate in terms of location, scale and design in the context of the settlement form, pattern and character.

6.2.5 The application site is on the edge of the settlement boundary within Purley Village, and has the characteristic of a semi-rural location, and the settlement boundary dissects the site, through the open part of the applicant's garden. The Quality Design SPD Residential Character Framework identifies this area as Victorian/Edwardian terrace houses. The house which it is proposed to extend is actually detached, and the surrounding area has a Victorian characteristic. The site is notable for its position on a tight bend in the road, at the bottom of the hill, and the views from the road afford views beyond the houses to the open countryside and AONB to the north. The proposed first floor extension to the house, will be evident in views from the south and will appear bulky and dominant, and appear out of character to the setting of the site, the design of the existing dwelling and the open character of the corner on Purley Lane. The proposal has been amended since the previous refusal, so that the first floor extension is completely clad in timber, and the overhanging eaves have been removed. Whilst the Design and Access Statement makes reference to the extension at Jasmine Cottage and the carport at that dwelling, being constructed of timber, the separation of the extension from the house, and its alignment, is such that the proposed extension appears as a poorly related bulky outbuilding, which is incongruously located in close proximity to the wall, and has neither the appearance of an outbuilding or an extension to the dwelling. The bridleway which abuts the site is a frequently used path for walkers, and is well connected to a network of paths leading to the River Thames within the

open countryside. The proposed extension would be particularly dominating on the bridleway when approaching from the north, as the site is approached from a sharp bend in the path from the open countryside into the village, and the appearance of the first floor extension would be very startling, and bulky and dominating. Whilst the bulk of the extension is set back from the path by 0.7 metres, together with the raised wall the extension will appear bulky and dominating presence adjacent to the path. Although the Ramblers Group have not raised any objection to the application, it is considered that the proposed bulk and appearance of the extension, together with extensive windows overlooking the path (albeit with some screening), at an elevated height, will be detrimental to the enjoyment of the path, and impact on the transition between the open countryside and the village when walking south into the village.

6.2.6 Within the Design and Access Statement, and supporting statement reference has been made to recent developments in the vicinity and most notably the timber and tile carport, and timber two storey extension which has been constructed at Jasmine Cottage adjacent to the site. However, both of these structures are set back from the main building line within the street, and blend in without being prominent within the streetscene, and whilst they have reduced some of the views of the countryside, the impact of the proposed extension to Purley Lodge Cottage is greater than those extensions given the wider impact on the public viewpoints.

6.2.7 Impact on Neighbouring Amenity

6.2.8 Policy CS14 requires that new development will make a positive contribution to the quality of life in West Berkshire. The proposed extension has first floor windows on the side and rear extensions, and their impact on the adjacent bridleway is discussed above. The ground floor windows face east towards Jasmine Cottage, however there is not considered to be a detrimental impact as there is sufficient screening along the boundary to ensure there will not be an unacceptable degree of overlooking to the adjacent garden, given that there is already some secondary overlooking from the existing first floor windows. The proposal is not considered to be harmful to the living conditions of the adjacent dwellings, due to the separation distances, and positioning of windows.

6.2.9 Highway safety

6.2.10 Policies CS13 and P1 refer to development which has an impact on the highway network, and set out the requirements for parking to serve residential development. In this location 3 parking spaces are required to serve a four bedroom house. The application has been amended since the previous refusal, to provide three parking spaces, and the highways officer is satisfied with this arrangement. The Ramblers Group have raised concern about works taking place on the Public Right of Way, and the potential for obstruction during construction work. However, this is controlled under separate legislation, and informative notes could be added to a permission to advise the developer of the need to comply with the legislation, and to keep the bridleway clear during development

7. Conclusion

7.1 The proposed extension of Purley Lodge Cottage would represent an upgrading of the living accommodation within an existing dwelling within the settlement

boundary, which is acceptable in principle. However given the bulky appearance of the development, in a prominent position adjacent on the edge of the settlement and the North Wessex Downs AONB, the proposal will have an overly dominant and incongruous appearance which would be detrimental to the street scene, and the enjoyment of the adjacent bridleway PURL/3/4. Whilst the applicant entered into pre-application discussions prior to submitting the first application, and whilst the subsequent amendment to the scheme refused planning permission have overcome the highways reason for refusal, the design of the first floor element of the extension is considered to be bulky, incongruous in appearance and detrimental to the character of this part of Purley Village, and detrimental to the enjoyment of the users of the bridleway, and these outweigh the benefits of the enlarged accommodation.

8. Full Recommendation

8.1 The Head of Development and Planning be authorised to refuse planning permission for the following reason;


1. Due to the size, bulk, materials, position and relationship to the host dwelling, of the proposed first floor element of the proposed extension, the development will have a bulky and overly dominant and incongruous appearance which will be detrimental to the character and appearance of this part of Purley Village, which will result in a loss of important views of the adjoining North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and will have a detrimental impact on the users of the adjacent Bridleway PURL/3/4, due to its dominating appearance and degree of overlooking from windows adjacent to the path.

For the above reasons, the proposed development does not achieve a high standard of design that respects and enhances the character and appearance of the area. The proposed development is inappropriate in terms of its appearance and character in the context of the existing settlement form, pattern and character, and therefore does not constitute a sustainable form of development due to its harmful impact on the character and appearance of the area and bridleway PURL/3/4. The development therefore fails to accord with Policies ADPP1, ADPP4, CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006 - 2026, Parts 2 and 3 of West Berkshire Council's Quality Design Supplementary Planning Document and the provisions of the NPPF (2018) which seek ensure that development achieves a high standard of design.

This page is intentionally left blank

18/02930/HOUSE

Purley Lodge Cottage, Purley Lane, Purley On Thames, RG8 8AT




Map Centre Coordinates :

Scale : 1:9972

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey map with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright 2003.

Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings .

Organisation	West Berkshire Council
Department	
Comments	Not Set
Date	25 January 2019
SLA Number	0100024151



This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4.(3)

Item No	Application No. and Parish	8/13 week date	Proposal, Location and Applicant
(3)	18/02628/LBC2 Pangbourne Parish Council	13 th December 2018 Extension of time 8 th February 2019	To facilitate the safe and permanent removal of the extensive asbestos that exists in the ground and first floors and to enable access to the 15 th /16 th century vaults below the ground floor, we propose to replace the existing wood veneer floor in the drawing room with new oak floorboards and to improve the appearance of the interiors of the dining room and study, we propose to replace the relatively new existing pine floorboards with new solid oak boards. Bere Court, Pangbourne, RG8 8 HT Mr McHugh de Clare

To view the plans and drawings relating to this application click the following link:
<http://planning.westberks.gov.uk/rpp/index.asp?caseref=18/02628/LBC2>

Recommendation Summary: **The Head of Development and Planning be authorised to GRANT Listed Building Consent subject to conditions.**

Ward Member(s): Councillor Bale

Reason for Committee Determination: Called in by Councillor Bale due to concerns that the proposal would replace a late 18th Century wooden floor with modern oak flooring. Also replacement of the flooring in the Dining Room and the study with modern flooring.

Committee Site Visit: 30th January 2019

Contact Officer Details	
Name:	Debra Inston
Job Title:	Principal Conservation and Design Officer
Tel No:	(01635) 519111
E-mail Address:	Debra.inston@westberks.gov.uk

1. Site History

No recent planning permissions.

2. Publicity of Application

Site Notice Expired: 16.11.2018

3. Consultations and Representations

Parish Council:	COMMENT- request that the planning department, as the qualified authority, to satisfy itself as to whether this flooring should be preserved and would follow their guidance in this matter.
Historic England	On the basis of the information available to date, we do not wish to offer any comments. Additional correspondence received confirming their belief that the parquet floor is late C19th at the earliest. No objections have been received,.
Correspondence:	7 letters of objection. Raising the following concerns: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Loss of historic oak parquet floor which is believed to be of C18th origin.- Insufficient justification, as access to asbestos can be gained from the cellar via the trap door in the dining room.- If it is considered necessary to remove the parquet floor it should be replaced with similar parquet flooring. Oak boards would not be in keeping with the character of the building.- New oak flooring in the dining room should be in random widths and not modern click and connect flooring- Study Floor is generally in good condition needs only re- instalment of the panel by the French window. This is the original pine floor, which is integral to the period of the building.

4. Policy Considerations

4.1 The statutory development plan includes the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), Housing Site Allocations DPD (HSA DPD) (2006-2026) and the saved policies in the West Berkshire District Local Plan (1991-2006) (Saved Policies 2007).

4.2 Other material considerations include government guidance, in particular:-

- The National Planning Policy Framework (July 2018) (NPPF)
- The Planning Practice Guidance

4.3 The following policies from the West Berkshire Core Strategy are relevant to this application:-

- CS 14: Design Principles
- CS 19: Historic Environment and Landscape Character

5. Description of Development

- 5.1 In order to facilitate the safe removal of the extensive asbestos that exists in the ground and first floors and to enable access to the 15th/16th century vaults below the ground floor, the application proposes to take up the parquet floor in the drawing room. Given the condition of the parquet floor it would not be possible to re-install it. Therefore it is proposed to replace the flooring with new oak floorboards. The application also proposes replacing the existing pine floor boards in the study and dining room with new oak boards.

6. Consideration of the Proposal

- 6.1 Bere Court (including the recently annexed Bere House) is a Grade I listed building and is therefore afforded the highest level of protection. The building was founded circa 1400 with alterations in the C16th and C17th. It was refaced around the mid C18th, and extended further to the west in 1820.
- 6.2 The special architectural and historic interest of this listed building lies in its age, particularly the original core which dates to the C16th and still contains many original features; architectural form and detailing; internal architectural features; proportions of the principal internal rooms; the story later extensions tell about how the building evolved, responding to changing architectural fashions and needs of the owner; and connection to notable historic figures, such as the 14th century De La Bere family, Sheriffs of Berkshire, and George Tate of Tate & Lyle Sugar Company.
- 6.3 The main considerations in the determination of this application are the impact of the proposal on the special architectural and historic character of the listed building.

Works to parquet floor in the Drawing Room

- 6.4 The main concerns with this proposal are regarding the removal of the parquet floor in the drawing room and replacement with oak floorboards. Further information regarding the floor was therefore requested, along with an explanation as to why the asbestos could not be safely removed and without the need to remove the floor.
- 6.5 Additional information received from the applicants included an assessment of the flooring by a specialist in historic parquet flooring, Jasper Weldon of Weldon Flooring. Jasper Weldon is considered an expert in this field and has written articles on ancient parquet floors including an article for buildingconsevation.com entitled 'Parquetry Floors' - <http://datatest.buildingconservation.com/articles/parquetry/parquetry.htm>
- 6.6 Jasper Weldon concluded that the floor was of late C19th date, rather than C18th, and was not worthy of retention. His findings were as follows:
- Parquet floor typical of an early C20th pattern and style.
 - Existing machine cut floor joists are of late C19th early C20th origin
 - The parquet is very thin, only 3mm thick applied over a 50x100mm boarded floor (6mm is the normal thickness of parquet flooring). It is likely that this is as a result of heavy sanding in or around the 1960's.
- 6.7 It should be noted that that parquet floors are commonly understood as wood block floors that can be easily lifted and rest. parquet flooring, however, often includes marquetry floors

such as the drawing room floor at Bere Court, constructed from a wood veneer adhered to structural wood boarding.

- 6.8 The boarded floor beneath the parquet has penny gaps between and the veneer cannot be de-bonded from the boarding without damage. Lifting the floor will damage the parquet floor.
- 6.9 Historic England have been in consultation with the applicant and the case officer and have confirmed that they believe the floor to be of late C19th date. Historic England are not raising any objections to the proposal.
- 6.10 The applicants have also provided further justification for the need to remove the floor in order to safely remove the asbestos below.
- 6.11 The accredited asbestos contractors employed by the applicants, Omega Environmental, have confirmed that the heating services pipework installed around the perimeter of the drawing room, has been lagged with Chrysolite Insulation. The Chrysolite Insulation is in a very poor friable condition the dust from which has spread extensively throughout the basement area and lies under the various rooms above. Omega Environmental have confirmed that the only way to remove all of the asbestos is to remove the top 150mm of dirt and debris across the whole floor so that the substrate can be cleaned. Whilst in theory, it may be possible for the pipe lagged insulation to be removed around the perimeter of the floor this would require containment to be installed between the outer perimeter for the floor (the zone of the mechanical pipework) and the centre. This would prevent future access to the C16th staircase below the floor and given the condition of the floor will leave the applicant at serious risk of asbestos exposure in the future.
- 6.12 It is considered that the removal of the asbestos, so that the cellar can be safely used (thereby ensuring its long-term maintenance), is an important consideration which attracts much weight.
- 6.13 Given the fact that this is a late C19th floor in poor condition (it is unlikely to be able to undergo another round of sanding) and is unlikely to be able to be lifted without significant damage, it is considered that the less than substantial harm that would arise from its removal would be overcome by the benefits of ensuring that the important C16th vaulted chambers below is made safe and accessible for long term maintenance.
- 6.14 The proposed replacement of the parquet floor with oak floorboards to reflect the original C18th flooring is not considered to harm the special interest of this building.

Works to Study and Dining Room

- 6.15 The dining room is within the oldest part of the house and potentially dates to the C16th. However, the room has seen a number of alterations, including the installation of pine floorboards in the late C19th/early C20th.
- 6.16 The study is located adjacent to the dining room, and was formed in the early C18th when the building was refaced. As in the dining room, the floor boards date from the late C19th/early C20th.
- 6.17 Unlike the parquet floor, the floorboards in the study and dining room could be re-laid, however, the applicant wishes to take this opportunity to replace them with higher quality oak floorboards. Given the age of the floorboards, it is not considered that their

replacement with oak boards (the quality, grade and dimensions of which can be conditioned) would cause any harm to the significance of this Grade I listed building.

7. Response to letters of representation

7.1 Letters of representation are noted and have been addressed in the report.

8. Conclusion

8.1 For the reasons given above, and subject to appropriate conditions, it is considered that the proposal accords with the statutory requirements of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, the NPPF and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026), as it would not cause any harm to the special character of this Grade I listed building.

9. Full Recommendation

9.1 The Head of Development and Planning be authorised to **GRANT** listed building consent subject to the following conditions:-

1) Commencement of works

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this consent.

Reason: To comply with the requirements of Section 18 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

2) Approved Plans/Documents

This listed building consent relates only to work described on the drawings/and/or/in the documents identified below:

Drawing number 00101/201 received on 9th October 2018

The works shall be carried out in strict conformity with the approved plans and associated approved submitted professional reports, subject to any necessary minor variations which fall within the scope of this consent arising from specialist input during the course of works, following subsequent discussions with, and the written agreement of, the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To clarify what has been approved under this consent in order to protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building.

3) Schedule of Works

No development (including preparatory or demolition work), shall take place until a detailed schedule of works has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This shall include all work required to meet Building Regulations. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To protect the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

4) Details of floorboards

No flooring shall be laid until details of the floorboards, including grade of oak, dimensions, and finish, have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. All materials incorporated in the work shall match the approved samples.


Reason: To ensure that the materials are appropriate to the special architectural or historic interest of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

5) Building recording

No development shall take place within the application site until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of building recording, in accordance with a written scheme of investigation which has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter the development shall incorporate and be undertaken in accordance with the approved statement. This work will provide a record of the building fabric that is to be removed as part of this application. A copy of the results of the building recording shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority within three months of the completion of the works.

Reason: To ensure that an adequate record is made of the building. This condition is imposed in accordance with National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) and Policies CS14 and CS19 of the West Berkshire Core Strategy (2006-2026).

Bere Court, Pangbourne, RG8 8HT




Map Centre Coordinates :

Scale : 1:9972

Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey map with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright 2003.

Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings .

Organisation	West Berkshire Council
Department	
Comments	Not Set
Date	25 January 2019
SLA Number	0100024151



This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 5.

APPEAL DECISIONS EASTERN AREA-COMMITTEE

Parish and Application No Inspectorate's Ref	Location and Appellant	Proposal	Officer Recommendation	Decision
THATCHAM 18/00673/FULD PINS Ref 3204831	Land Adjacent To 44 Park Lane Thatcham (GAL Promotions Ltd)	New dwelling (with associated parking) Accessed from no.44 Park Lane, Thatcham.	Delegated Refusal	Dismissed 16.1.19
WOOLHAMPTON 18/01562/FULD PINS Ref 3212368	Rose Cottage Bath Road Woolhampton Patricia Brown	Erection of a new detached 3 bedroom house and garage	Delegated Refusal	Dismissed 25.1.19
THEALE 18/00844/HOUSE PINS Ref 3208781	6 Volunteer Road, Theale Mr and Mrs Terry	First floor rear extension	Delegated Refusal	Allowed 28.1.19

This page is intentionally left blank